

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,085	10/04/2005	Matthias Fehr	EIS-1097/500593.20090	4150
20999 7590 04/28/2010 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			EXAMINER	
	ENUE- 10TH FL.		LU, ZHIYU	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/552.085 FEHR ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ZHIYU LU 2618 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 April 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 28-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 28-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2618

### DETAILED ACTION

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

 A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/05/2010 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/05/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding rejections, applicant argued that secondary references are completely silent with respect to a wireless transmission of audio signals to an external wireless receiver. Applicant then argued that Courtney, Ono, and Anzai relate to completely different technical fields.

However, the Examiner does not agree. First of all, limitation in preamble and for intended use is not given patentable weight.

The recitation that "for wirelessly transmitting audio signals to an external wireless receiver" has not been given patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the

structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. *Kropa v. Robie*, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951).

Courtney et al. and Ono et al. may not disclose "for wirelessly transmitting audio signals to an external wireless receiver". However, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations *Ex parte Masham* 2 USPQ2d 1647 1987).

Second, Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) as the primary reference already teaches the apparatus, a wireless microphone, wirelessly transmitting audio signals to an external wireless receiver. Anzai teaches replaceable flexible retractable antenna for cordless phone, which means transmission of audio signals. Courtney and Ono merely teach improvements to housing structure of the RF transmitter of AAPA.

Despite applicant's argument, Courtney, Ono, and Anzai are from the same technical field, in RF wireless communication. They all relate to housing structure RF transmitter in related to antenna.

Thus, the rejections are proper and maintained.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2618

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 28 and 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereafter, AAPA, citation refers to published application) in view of Courtney et al. (US6469658), Ono et al. (US5652589), and Anzai et al. (US5793331).
Regarding claim 28, AAPA teaches a wireless microphone system having an HF transmitter for wirelessly transmitting audio signals to an external wireless receiver, said HF transmitter comprising:

a transmitter housing (inherent in wireless microphone, paragraph 0008);

at least one antenna unit having an antenna and a circulator or an HF isolator, the circulator or HF isolator being connected to the antenna (paragraph 0008);

But, AAPA does not expressly disclose a transmitting amplifier; but decoupling the antenna unit from the transmitting amplifier to ensure that the transmitting amplifier can operate in a constant operating range; said antenna and said circulator or said HF isolator being arranged in a common antenna unit housing; and wherein the antenna unit can be plugged in the transmitter housing or screwed onto the transmitter housing such that the antenna unit is replaceable as a unit.

Nevertheless, having a transmitting amplifier is a well-known practice in wireless communication.

Courtney et al. teach a RF transmitter having a transmitting amplifier (22 of Fig. 2); but decoupling the antenna unit (36 of Fig. 2) from the transmitting amplifier to ensure that the transmitting amplifier can operate in a constant operating range (non-variable amplifier provides constant operating range), antenna and HF isolator are arranged as interchangeable components (Fig. 2, column 7 lines 20-29), wherein the antenna unit can be connected with the transmitter

Art Unit: 2618

housing such that the antenna unit is replaceable as a unit (Fig. 2), which would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate said transmitter structure into the system of AAPA, in order to provide convenient antenna adaption.

Moreover, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to put said antenna and circulator into a common housing for replacement, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formally been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art, Howard v. Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.S.164 (1893).

Ono et al. further show that antenna and circulator can indeed be arranged in a common antenna unit housing (M of Fig. 3, column 5 lines 62-66), which would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of AAPA and Courtney et al. for preferential practice.

Anzai et al. teach antenna unit can be screwed onto the transmitter housing (microphone itself) such that the antenna unit is replaceable as a unit (column 1 lines 55-60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate replace antenna unit by screwing process taught by Anzai et al. into the wireless microphone system of AAPA, Courtnety et al., and Ono et al., in order to replace and fix antenna unit.

Regarding claim 30, AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al. teach a pocket transmitter microphone as explained in response to claim 28 above.

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 31, AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al. teach a hand transmitter microphone as explained in response to claim 28 above.

Regarding claim 33, AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al. teach a wireless microphone device as explained in response to claim 28 above.

Regarding claims 32 and 34, AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al. teach the limitations of claims 28 and 33.

Courtney et al. teach wherein the antenna unit is tuned to a given frequency range (column 7 lines 22-24).

 Claims 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereafter, AAPA, citation refers to published application) in view of Courtney et al. (US6469658), and Anzai et al. (US5793331).

Regarding claim 33, AAPA teach a wireless microphone device, comprising:

a receiving device (microphone) having at or in its high frequency input an antenna unit having an antenna said and a circulator or an HF isolator being connected to the antenna (paragraph 0008).

But, AAPA does not expressly disclose said antenna and said circulator or said HF isolator being arranged in a common housing of the antenna unit; and wherein the antenna unit can be plugged in or screwed on such that the antenna unit is replaceable as a unit.

Courtney et al. teach in a RF transmitter where said antenna (36 of Fig. 2) and said circulator (24 of Fig. 2) being arranged as interchangeable components (Fig. 2, column 7 lines 20-29), wherein it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to put said antenna and said circulator into a common housing for replacement, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formally been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. Howard v. Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.S. 164 (1893).

Anzai et al. teach antenna unit can be screwed on such that the antenna unit is replaceable as a unit (column 1 lines 55-60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate replacing antenna unit by screwing process taught by Anzai et al. into the wireless microphone system of AAPA and Courtney et al., in order replace and fix antenna unit.

Regarding claim 34, AAPA, Courtney et al., and Anzai et al. teach the limitation of claim 33. Courtney et al. teach wherein the antenna unit is tuned to a given frequency range (column 7 lines 22-24).

Art Unit: 2618

 Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereafter AAPA, citations refer to published specification) in view of Courtney et al. (US Patent#6469658), Ono et al. (US5652589), Anzai et al. (US Patent#5793331), and Kawasaki et al. (US2002/0197957).

Regarding claim 29, AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al. teach the limitation of claim 28.

AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al. teach a hand transmitter microphone or a pocket transmitter microphone, wherein at least one antenna unit is plugged in or screwed on to the hand transmitter microphone or the pocket transmitter microphone (paragraph 0008).

But, AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al. do not expressly disclose further comprising a receiver, and wherein at least one antenna unit is plugged in or screwed on to the receiver.

Kawasaki et al. teach a wireless microphone system having a transmitter microphone (101 of Fig. 1) and a receiver (102 of Fig. 1), where obviously antenna unit could be replaceable in view of Courtney's teaching (column 7 lines 20-29) for tuned frequency usage.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a receiver for reception taught by Kawasaki et al. into the wireless microphone system of AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al., in order to receive microphone transmission with tuned frequency component.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to ZHIYU LU whose telephone number is (571)272-2837. The
examiner can normally be reached on Weekdays: 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Zhiyu Lu Examiner Art Unit 2618

/Zhiyu Lu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618 April 23, 2010